



Department: Water and Sanitation REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA



## MINUTES OF MEETING

## Algoa WSS Reconciliation Strategy Continuation: Meeting 11 of the Administrative and Technical Support Group held on Wednesday 15 August 2018 at 09h00 at the Aurecon Offices in Port Elizabeth

Item			Action			
1.	WELCOME AND CONST	TITUTION OF MEETING				
	WELCOME					
	Technical Support Group Strategy Continuation, on	Mr Paul Chilton, welcomed everybody to the meeting of the Administrative and Technical Support Group (ATSG) of the Algoa Water Supply System Reconciliation Strategy Continuation, on behalf of Mr Tendayi Makombe who was unable to attend the meeting due to budget constraints in the Department of Water and Sanitation.				
2.	ATTENDANCE AND APO	DLOGIES				
	Attendance					
	Paul Chilton	DWS: CE: Proto CMA CM (Chair)	PC			
	Andrew Lucas	DWS ECape: Water Regulation and Use	AL			
	Bheki Kunene	DWS ECape: Proto-CMA	BK			
	Cebisa Goboza	DWS: NWRI	CG			
	Glen Daniell	DWS: Infrastructure Operations	GD			
	Vhuthu Tshishonge	DWS: WIM (IE)	VT			
	Graham Taylor	Coega SEZ	GT			
	Jeff Govender	DEDEAT	JG			
	Andreas Engelbrecht	GFRWUA	AE			
	Martin Labuschagne	DWS ECape: WR&U-Manager Sarah Baartman DM	ML			
	Mike Primmer	LSRWUA	MP			
	Nick Chapman	VWSA – Business Chamber	NC			
	Nico Lombard	Cacadu District Development Agency	NL			
	Paul du Plessis	NMBM	PdP			
	Pierre Joubert	Gamtoos IB	PJ			
	Rienette Colesky	Gamtoos IB	RC			
	Thelani Grant	Living Lands	TG			
	Victor Felton	Kouga LM	VF			
	Kevin McRae	AfriCoast	KM			
	Erik van der Berg	Aurecon	EvdB			
	Reina Zastron	Aurecon	RZ			
	Apologies					
	Barry Martin	NMBM	BM			
	Jacques van der Merwe	DWS EC	JvdM			

ltem			Action
	Jenny Pashkin	DWS: D: WRPS Systems Operation	JP
	Liz Metcalfe	Living Lands	LM
	Menard Mugumo	DWS: D: OA CE: South	MM
	Pieter Viljoen	DWS: WRPS	PV
	Sieg Rousseau	Amatola Water	SR
	Tendayi Makombe	DWS: NWRP PE (South)	ТМа
	Tony Moore	DWS: D: OA CE	TMo
	Eddie Oosthuizen	Kouga LM	EO
3.	ADOPTION OF AGENDA	A	
	The agenda was adopted	with one addition:	
	16.1 (under General) Ad	Hoc Studies	
		ras held in Pretoria between the PSP and DWS head office ry, EvdB will provide feedback from notes taken during the	
4	APPROVAL OF PREVIO	US MINUTES	
	The minutes of the mee changes:	ting held on 9 May 2018 were accepted with the following	
	Page 4 Item 6.3 (last para	agraph): Action Jannie Fourie (and not JP)	
	Page 5 Item 7.1: 'Status r	eport <b>7 5</b> '	
	Page 6 Item 9.2: 'An EIA	for a <del>16</del> <b>60</b> Ml desalination scheme has been approved. '	
5	MATTERS ARISING FRO	OM PREVIOUS MINUTES	
	Item 12.7 NMBM Coega I	Kop implementation:	
	'RM stated that, to know more about the yield, quality etc. of the Coega Kop groundwater scheme, he would like to test-pump the water for a significant time. He wanted to route the water through the storm water canals into the Swartkops River. The legality and approvals needed to do this need to be determined by DWS, CDC, NMBM and DEDEAT, who agreed to address this.' This issue is still not resolved. AL indicated that the water must be used in this drought-stricken time. GT mentioned that the water could possibly go into the Coega SEZ attenuation pond to be used for construction, etc. EvdB will ask Marius van Jaarsveld and Ricky Murray to investigate and propose a solution.		EvdB/ MvJ/ RM
6.	RELEVANT PROJECTS RESPONSIBLE ENTITIE	AND INITIATIVES UNDERTAKEN BY OTHER S	
6.1	AWSS Annual Operating	g Rules	
	EvdB did a presentation following was reported:	on behalf of JP who was unable to attend the meeting. The	
	Overall, 30% restrictions	brum meeting for 2018-2019 cycle was held on 14 June 2018. have been agreed to be imposed on the NMBM from 1 June in terms of the estimated unrestricted projected consumption	

Item		Action
	of 123.36 million m <sup>3</sup> /a (330 Ml/day) for the Metro,therefore if you apply the 30% the restricted projected consumption will be 86 million m <sup>3</sup> /a (230 Ml/day). NMBM can only abstract a raw water volume of 35.9 million m <sup>3</sup> /a (97 Ml/d) from the Kromme, Kouga and Groendal sub-systems (local resources). To make up the deficit in the amount of water needed within the Metro a minimum of 50 million m <sup>3</sup> /a (135 Mł/d) should be supplied to the NMBM from the Sundays River sub-system to reach a total net supply of 86 million m <sup>3</sup> /a (230 Ml/day).	
	For the Gamtoos Irrigation Board, 80% restrictions will be imposed in terms of the existing lawful allocation of 60.3 million m <sup>3</sup> /a. The GIB will be allowed a total abstraction of 16.6 million m <sup>3</sup> /a. This includes losses of $\pm$ 4.5 million m <sup>3</sup> /a. If the losses are higher the actual use should reduce to accordingly.	
	At the pre-meeting in Pretoria, FF proposed that other DWS role players, e.g. Infrastructure (regional and national) be involved with the drought situation. JP mentioned that the restrictions are in the approval phase but have not yet been gazetted.	
	AL mentioned that going forward, farmers will also attend the drought monitoring meeting. NMBM was asked to ensure that Loerie Dam does not increase above 85% during the drought period.	
6.2	Orange River Annual Operating Rules	
	JP indicated at the pre-meeting that the rules are not yet finalised, but no restrictions are envisaged. AL indicated that the restrictions will be gazetted after the meeting with the upstream farmers on 16 August.	
6.3	OFS Real-time Model	
	At the pre-meeting held, Kevin Greaves of reported that the operations user group of the Algoa WSS had requested that more information on the efficiency of water transfers be included in the monthly report. This required the updating of reporting templates in the OFS operational model to report on distribution efficiency of the OFS water transfer scheme. Undertaken as an <i>ad-hoc</i> task, this has been nearly completed, with the only part being the training of DWS staff in Pretoria on the extraction of template reports from the OFS operational model. EvdB noted that a significant volume of water can be saved on an ongoing basis by knowledgeable staff applying the operational model.	
6.4	Verification and Validation (V&V)	
	PC reported that not all required work has been completed. DWS staff has taken over the outstanding work to complete. Many appeals have been received, and the water tribunal has asked the regional office to deal with appeals, to try to reduce the number of appeals to be dealt with by the tribunal. PV also indicated that the DWS is making progress with the finalisation of the outstanding properties.	
6.5	Working for Water and Working for Wetlands	
	GIB reported that the Department of Environmental Affairs has recently renewed their contract for the Working For programmes with GIB. Work will start soon in the catchment of the Kouga and Kromme rivers. A budget of R11 million is available for the current year to do clearing of invasive alien plants. It is of concern to the Implementing	

ltem		Action
	Agent that the legal process of handing back land to landowners after sufficient clearing has been done, does not appear to be effective. The consequence of this is that funding is tied up in following-up on regrowth and a limited amount of funding is available to clear additional infested areas. RC said that the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2014 (NEMBA) regulates alien invasive growth on land. Enforcement of the act is however somewhat problematic.	
6.6	Projects undertaken by Living Lands	
	TG did a presentation on the LL initiatives. She reported that, in April this year stakeholders from the Langkloof, involved in Honeybush, embarked on a Honeybush Sensing Journey to the Overberg. Cultivation methods were investigated, and sustainable wild harvesting of fynbos was discussed. From this Sensing Journey it became apparent that there is an opportunity for the formation of a collective that could support both cultivation of Honeybush as well as the sustainable management of wild resources. LL is currently involved in Honeybush resource assessments on various farms, and information such as the number of alien invasive seedlings in assessment transects are recorded and could eventually feed into AIS and Farm Management Plans. LL is also involved in the formal Honeybush structures, such as the Honeybush Community of Practice and the South African Honeybush Tea Association.	
	The establishment of a Water Fund for Algoa Bay has been promoted. A Water Fund is a platform which brings upstream and downstream stakeholders together around the main goal of securing water by investing in ecological infrastructure. This platform enables strategic planning and the distribution of funds, the governance of natural resources and the coordination of activities in catchments. The Water Fund could support the Algoa Reconciliation Strategy by giving feedback from the landscape and serving as a link between upstream and downstream stakeholders and activities.	
	Engagements on the Water Fund has been ongoing with various entities, such as NMBM, DWS, National Resource Management, GIB, Coca-Cola Company, GIZ, Santam, The Nature Conservancy, Woodlands Dairy, Eastern Cape Parks and Tourism Agency, Conservation Outcomes, DEDEAT, SAEON, as well as with individuals. The Water Fund has a steering committee that focuses on strategic long-term planning and resource allocation, while a working group provides technical expertise that guides the practical implementation in the catchment. PC indicated that the DWS still needs the approval of their Chief Director of their attendance of the water board meetings.	PC
6.7	Ongoing Coega SEZ Initiatives	
	GT reported that there is a new incentive to business to move their activities to the SEZ, to qualify for income tax savings.	
	He mentioned that the 60MŁ reservoir's planned completion date is 11 December 2018. The reservoir will initially be used for potable water as there is not yet currently a need for the use of return effluent.	
	No new water users have been signed up. The question of whether the Coega SEZ should become a water service provider is still on the table.	

Item		Action			
7	STATUS REPORT				
7.1	Progress Report (Status Report 6)				
	EvdB presented the draft status report and gave a summary of the feasibility evaluation of additional balancing storage in the LSRGWS, as well as the WUE assessment undertaken for the Fish and Sundays catchments.				
	Additional balancing storage in the LSRGWS				
	The preliminary screening, costing and comparison of potential dams site for a 4.6 million $m^3$ balancing dam was undertaken, with the recommended site being the Lower Coerney Dam site, located near the existing Scheepersvlakte Dam, notably because no pumping is required, and the dam is the cheapest. An alternative site is the Upper Scheepersvlakte site, located just upstream of the existing dam. Both these potential dams are located on private land with irrigation development planned to get underway during late 2018. Integration with the existing gravity pipeline to Nooitgedagt WTW can easily be achieved for both dams. The dams have been sized for the combined NMBM balancing capacity of 4 410 Mℓ (21 days balancing storage) plus the farmer's required irrigation capacity (~ 180 Mℓ, one week of storage).				
	Geotechnical investigations are underway at the two sites, with core drilling still to be done. A draft EIA scope of work, with explanatory notes, have been provided to DWS. Topographical site surveys have been done at both dam sites, with a small additional survey to be done soon. A flood assessment is planned for the Lower Coerney Dam, as well as an ecological Reserve determination.				
	Water Use Efficiency assessment - Fish and Sundays rivers catchments				
	This component of the project has been completed, with an initial identified saving of 89 million $m^3/a$ .				
	<ul> <li>The conclusions of the project are:</li> <li>No savings are foreseen from WC/WDM at small towns or from the diversion at Hermanuskraal Weir to Makana Municipality and the Tyhefu Irrigation Scheme.</li> <li>Avoid future under-utilisation of water from emerging farmers allocations, by learning from proven, sustainable emerging-farmer developments, to ensure the best future possible chance of success, to avoid unused allocations.</li> <li>Phased implementation of recommended efficiency interventions.</li> <li>Continuously monitor actual water savings realized and adjust savings targets.</li> </ul>				
	Status Report 6				
	EvdB presented the water availability, water requirements, interventions and scenario planning. All information presented is in the status report which would be distributed within a few weeks after the meeting. The presentation is also available and can be requested from RZ.				
	<ul> <li>It was emphasized that the 2017/18 historical water use value is NOT used as the starting point for scenario planning, because:</li> <li>2017/18 historical value reflects restricted use of the Algoa WSS during a significant drought.</li> <li>Using this value as the starting point for scenario planning will lead to underestimation of the interventions needed to ensure a long-term water balance.</li> </ul>				

Item		Action
	<ul> <li>Water use typically returns to pre-drought levels within a year or two unless some drought WC/WDM measures are kept in place.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>The theoretical 2017/18 starting value used for scenario evaluation corresponds closely with the 2017/18 trendline value of water historical use:</li> <li>The 2017/18 actual (restricted use) water use was 139.1 million m<sup>3</sup>/a.</li> <li>The 2017/18 scenario starting value is 169.4 million m<sup>3</sup>/a.</li> </ul>	
	EvdB explained that some changes were made to scenarios previously used. Seven scenarios have been developed.	
	EvdB explained that climate change forms part of the worst-case scenario, but that this has always been simplistically done in the past, by reducing the system yield over the evaluation period. EvdB will liaise with the DWS Directorate Climate Change before the SSC meeting in September, with the aim of obtaining improved information on climate change, which can be used for scenario planning. A short study on climate change can be considered as an <i>ad-hoc</i> task.	EvdB
	<ul> <li>The following conclusions were made from scenario planning:</li> <li>Current water shortages are caused by drought.</li> <li>Delayed funding of infrastructure, procurement and implementation leads to risks of not meeting water requirements.</li> <li>Water efficiency measures and behaviour should be made more permanent.</li> <li>Effective WC/WDM is essential for NMBM and Kouga LM.</li> <li>Complete NLLS Phase 3 and remove bottlenecks in delivery.</li> <li>Planning of interventions should proceed due to long implementation lead times and uncertainty in terms of growth in water requirements.</li> <li>Groundwater schemes should be pursued by NMBM and Kouga LM (and already forms part of their drought actions).</li> <li>Kouga LM is aiming to become more self-sufficient.</li> </ul>	
	BK asked EvdB for information on the quality and turbidity of water transferred to Grahamstown. RZ will send the project's sub-report addressing the efficiency of this transfer sub-system ( <i>Evaluation of Water Supply to Makana and the Tyhefu Irrigation Scheme</i> Report) to BK, as well as the design report for Phase 2 of the James Kleynhans WTW.	EvdB RZ
	ML indicated that due to budget constraints, Nooitgedagt WTW's completion date will move out.	
	AL asked that the status report be updated to indicate what volume / percentage NMBM has received from the Nooitgedagt WTW.	EvdB
	VF confirmed that the growth of the Kouga LM is 2.3%.	
	JG mentioned that DEDEAT had received an EIA application for a desalination plant from the seven smaller municipalities.	
	ML suggested that a scenario be developed that shows the situation if the licence for allocation of Orange River water is revoked. This possible scenario should include the fact that the beneficiaries of such water (assumed to be the Gauteng province) must assist the financing of a desalination plant. This could also be incorporated in the	EvdB

Item		Action		
	worst-case scenario.			
	Another possible scenario will be a case of permanent water restrictions. Income from financial penalties could then be used for WC/WDM interventions.	EvdB		
8	OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY			
	Darlington Dam operating capacity and dam safety rehabilitation programme			
8.1	EvdB indicated that Aurecon, upon request, submitted a proposal for the <i>ad-hoc</i> determination of increased yield when raising the dam. At the pre-meeting MM indicated that this was no longer required. After the ATSG meeting, the Project Manager indicated that this ad-hoc task is still required, and the best option is to pay for this task from savings on the Algoa Recon study. Approval for the task is required from the Director: NWRP.			
8.2	Report back Additional Balancing Storage in the LSRGWS			
	Reported under 7.1.			
9	WATER REQUIREMENTS AND USE			
9.1	NMBM water requirements and use			
	PdP reported that the average use for July was 263Ml/day. The average August use up to 14 August is 258Ml/day.			
9.2	Coega SEZ water requirements			
	GT indicated that there is still no change in the water requirements of the Coega SEZ. There are no new investors. The possible move of the manganese stockpile to the Coega SEZ is scheduled for 2023 at the earliest.			
9.3	Kouga LM water requirements			
	VF reported that water shedding has been implemented at Hankie and Patensie. Kouga Municipality is receiving water from various entities e.g. Gift of the Givers, Dischem, etc. Kouga Municipality plans to equip a borehole in Hankie. The water will be blended to enhance the quality. He mentioned that desalination is not viable to supply towns in the Gamtoos valley as it is not close enough to the sea. VF indicated that water infrastructure in the Kouga LM is not adequate to meet the demand.			
10	IMPROVING THE CONFIDENCE OF WATER AVAILABILITY			
10.1	<b>Proposed water availability assessment study – Kromme and Kouga rivers</b> EvdB reported on behalf of TMa, that the study was submitted as a priority study which should start as soon as funding becomes available. As all DWS procurement has currently been stopped, the study cannot go ahead at this stage.			
11	WC/WDM Report on progress of other municipalities No discussion.			
12	REPORT BACK BY NMBM			
12.1	NMBM drought measures and progress with implementation			
	Water use in the Metro reduced from 340Mł/day to an average use of 263Mł/day in July. No further discussion.			

ltem				Action		
12.2	WC/WDM					
	PdP reported that flow limiter meters were installed and activated at 75 high users. 64 000 flow limiter meters were installed at low income users, but the activation is outstanding.					
12.3	Report on progress with WUE in schools					
	prevents NMBI August that she	M from insta e will set up e of water at	stalled and activated at 43 schools, but lack of funding ling more. PM indicated at the drought meeting on 16 a meeting with the Department of Education in Bisho to schools as well as the way forward (information received ng).	PM		
12.4	Nooitgedagt L	ow-Level Scl	neme: Implementation and Financing			
	August. Payme back on site on non-payment is which could be	ent should be 20 August. A of concern deemed frui	actor has not been paid and left site at the beginning of made by the end of the week and the contractor should be A realistic completion date of the project is now 2021. The as the contractor will have to be paid for standing time tless and wasteful expenditure (approximately R5 million ould also opt to terminate the contract.			
12.5	Desalination o	f Sundays R	iver irrigation return flows			
	undertaken by I	DWS, for the	een proposed by NMBM that a pre-feasibility study be NMBM to take this intervention further. This has also been al <i>ad-hoc</i> investigations.			
12.6	Re-use of wat WWTWs	ter treated t	o industrial standards – Fishwater Flats and Coega			
	The scheme w Coega SEZ. No		veloped further until there is sufficient demand from the ssion.			
12.7	NMBM Coega	Kop impleme	entation			
	Africa indicating has been includ	g progress w led in the stat	ess report from Dr Ricky Murray (RM) from Groundwater with groundwater exploration and drilling. The information us report. (mid-August 2018):			
	Area	Aquifer	Progress			
	Wellfields	0				
	Coega Kop Uitenhage		Exploration boreholes mostly complete; 1 <sup>st</sup> production borehole currently being drilled. Geophysics complete; exploration borehole drilling to start soon (after procurement).			
	Ultenhage         Coega Fault - I MG         Geophysics complete; exploration borehole drilling to start soon (after procurement).           Churchill Dam (NMBM property)         Folded TMG         Phase 1 exploration boreholes mostly complete (put on hold) – to resume soon; Phase 2 geophysics complete; exploration borehole drilling to start soon (after procurement).					
	Bushy Park (West)         TMG         Geophysics complete; exploration borehole drilling to start soon (after procurement).           Moregrove         Moregrove Fault - TMG         Geophysics complete; some exploration borehole drilling complete, to resume soon (after procurement).					
	Individual Boreholes Individual Boreholes No exploration boreholes; some potential production borehole complete, to resume					
	Individual Boreholes Mostly TMG Mostly TMG soon (after procurement).					
	Estimated groundwater yields The potential yield resulting from the currently-planned drilling is ~51 Ml/day or ~18.5					
<u> </u>		g				

	million m <sup>3</sup> /a. If	the NMBM i	ncludes ~6 pro	duction boreh	oles outside th	neir Churchill Da	
			•			ly increase to ~	
	Ml/day or ~20 million m <sup>3</sup> /a. These yields are estimates based on drilling results thus far						
	and at this stage should be considered only as a rough guide for planning purposes.						
8 Other groundwater studies							
	The following progress was reported by RM:						
	A table of Kou	ga Municipa	lity drilling unde	ertaken in 201	7/2018 is indic	ated below.	
	Towns	Aquifer		I	Progress		
	Oyster Bay	TMG			ipped to meet the De	cember 2017 deadline.	
	Jeffreys Bay	Folded TMG	Water tinkering was 2 boreholes were d		orehole test pumped	. None were equipped.	
	Humansdorp	Folded TMG			oorehole test pumped		
	Hankey	Folded TMG	was also test pump Municipality land. T	bed. The target area he yields and water of	was ~10 km wet of Ha	d. The production bore ankey on Kouga was considered to be	
			unsuitable for dome		test pumped on DWS	S-owned land at Kouga	
	Patensie/ Andrieskraa	al TMG	Dam. The yields an	nd water quality arour	nd Patensie and Andri	ieskraal were considere	
			Dam. The yields an to be unsuitable for			ieskraal were considere	
	Estimated grou The table belo each town's o	undwater yie ow provides current wate e note that	Dam. The yields ar to be unsuitable for elds estimates of t er requirement the current de	nd water quality arour domestic consumpt he additional s, and to be	<sup>ion.</sup> borehole requ	irements, to main to m	
	Estimated grou The table belo each town's o supply. Please	undwater yie ow provides current wate e note that	Dam. The yields ar to be unsuitable for elds estimates of t er requirement the current de	nd water quality arour domestic consumpt he additional s, and to be	<sup>ion.</sup> borehole requ	irements, to m	
	Estimated grou The table belo each town's o supply. Please provided by sta	undwater yie ow provides current wate e note that aff from the n Approximate current demand (MI/day)	Dam. The yields ar to be unsuitable for estimates of the er requirement the current de municipality Approximate supply from dams (eg Churchill, Impofu, Kouga, Lourie)	he additional s, and to be emand and s Approximate current supply from boreholes & springs	ion. borehole reque totally relian upply for the Additional potential from new & existing unused boreholes	irements, to module t on groundwa listed towns w Additional groundwater requirement to meet demand or stop reliance on dam/surface	
	Estimated grou The table belo each town's o supply. Please provided by sta Town	undwater yie ow provides current wate aff from the n Approximate current demand	Dam. The yields ar to be unsuitable for estimates of the er requirement the current de municipality Approximate supply from dams (eg Churchill, Impofu, Kouga, Lourie) (MI/day)	he additional s, and to be emand and s Approximate current supply from boreholes & springs (MI/day)	ion. borehole reque totally relian upply for the Additional potential from new & existing unused boreholes (MI/day)	irements, to module t on groundwa listed towns w Additional groundwater requirement to meet demand or stop reliance on dam/surface water (MI/day)	
	Estimated grou The table belo each town's o supply. Please provided by sta	undwater yie ow provides current wate e note that aff from the n Approximate current demand (MI/day)	Dam. The yields ar to be unsuitable for estimates of t er requirement the current de municipality Approximate supply from dams (eg Churchill, Impofu, Kouga, Lourie) (MI/day)	he additional s, and to be emand and s Approximate current supply from boreholes & springs (MI/day)	ion. borehole request totally relian upply for the Additional potential from new & existing unused boreholes (MI/day)	irements, to me t on groundwa listed towns w Additional groundwater requirement to meet demand or stop reliance on dam/surface water (MI/day)	
	Estimated grou The table belo each town's o supply. Please provided by sta Town Oyster Bay Jeffreys Bay	undwater yie ow provides current wate e note that aff from the n Approximate current demand (MI/day) 0.5 - 0.6 11	Dam. The yields ar to be unsuitable for estimates of t er requirement the current de municipality Approximate supply from dams (eg Churchill, Impofu, Kouga, Lourie) (MI/day) 0 7.4	he additional s, and to be emand and s Approximate current supply from boreholes & springs (MI/day) 0.53 3.6	ion. borehole reque totally relian upply for the Additional potential from new & existing unused boreholes (MI/day) 0 1.2	irements, to me t on groundwa listed towns w Additional groundwater requirement to meet demand or stop reliance on dam/surface water (MI/day) 0.1 6.2	
	Estimated grou The table belo each town's o supply. Please provided by sta Town Oyster Bay Jeffreys Bay Humansdorp	undwater yie ow provides current wate e note that aff from the n Approximate current demand (MI/day) 0.5 - 0.6 11 7.5	Dam. The yields ar to be unsuitable for estimates of to er requirement the current do municipality Approximate supply from dams (eg Churchill, Impofu, Kouga, Lourie) (MI/day) 0 7.4 4.7	he additional s, and to be emand and s Approximate current supply from boreholes & springs (MI/day) 0.53 3.6 2.8	ion. borehole request totally relian upply for the Additional potential from new & existing unused boreholes (MI/day) 0 1.2 0.8	irements, to me t on groundwa listed towns w Additional groundwater requirement to meet demand or stop reliance on dam/surface water (MI/day) 0.1 6.2 3.9	

Item		Action
13	LOCAL SURFACE WATER DEVELOPMENT	
13.1	Kouga Dam 'raising' and Guernakop Dam	
	EvdB reported that several interventions were identified in the Algoa Reconciliation Strategy (2009), including the options to replace/raise the existing Kouga Dam and the option to construct the Guernakop Dam upstream on the Kouga River. Since then some refinements of these options have been done, to a limited extent. There is a need to re-assess the situation with regard to these potential dams and to refine the cost estimate of potential dams in the Kouga River, especially for comparison with seawater desalination as a longer-term reconciliation option. TMa asked EvdB to provide a quote for this evaluation.	
14	IMPACT ON YIELDS OF EXISTING DAMS: ECOLOGICAL RESERVE & CLIMATE CHANGE	
14.1	Implementing the Reserve for existing dams	
	No discussion.	
15	COMMUNICATION	
15.1	News Release	
	The News Release will be released after the completion of the Status Report in September 2018.	
15.2	Webpage Update	
	As there is currently no webmaster at DWS for the update of the project webpage, the project data will be provided to the DWS Project Manager, who will establish who will update the webpage.	RZ
16	GENERAL	
16.1	Ad-hoc studies	
	It was reported that there is expected to be between R2 million and R2.5 million saving on the lump sums provided for the study. The money could potentially be utilised for relevant, important smaller tasks relevant to the AWSS. The following ad-hoc tasks can be considered:	
	Algoa Annual Operating Analysis 2017-18 (complete).	
	<ul> <li>Operational model improvement (approved and on-going).</li> <li>Posters for Capacity Building (not approved).</li> </ul>	
	<ul><li>Posters for Capacity Building (not approved).</li><li>Kouga River dams evaluation (estimate to be provided).</li></ul>	
	Darlington Dam raising (still to be considered).	
	<ul> <li>Lower Sundays Return Flows Scheme (high priority, no proposal provided yet).</li> <li>Algoa Annual Operating Analysis 2018-19 (complete).</li> </ul>	
	Algoa WSS climate change assessment (to assess and potentially provide an	
	<ul> <li>estimate if needed).</li> <li>Imagery and farm dam identification (estimate to be provided).</li> </ul>	
	RZ distributed the developed list to all members. Comments from the team are	All
	awaited.	

ltem		Action
16.2	Numbering of deliverables	
	At the pre-meeting, TMa asked the PSP to align the numbering of the minutes with the contract.	RZ
17.	NEXT MEETINGS	
	<ul> <li>The dates of the next meetings are given below.</li> <li>SSC Meeting: Wednesday, 26 September 2018 @ 09h00</li> <li>SMM (Feasibility) Meeting: Tuesday, 9 October 2018 @ 14h00</li> <li>ATSG Meeting: Wednesday, 10 October 2018 @ 09h00</li> </ul>	
	The meeting dates of the SMM and ATSG meetings still need to be confirmed.	
18.	CLOSURE PC closed the meeting at 14h30 and thanked the members for their attendance and informed inputs.	

## **APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**

Project Manager: Mr T Makombe (DWS)	Signed:	Date:
Study Leader: Mr E vd Berg (Aurecon)	Signed:	Date: